

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/517,267	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BIGG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application: 71**

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Charles A. Muserlian {Reg. No. 19,683}.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 November 2006
**Time:** 2:08pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8 and 11


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) correct Markush language in claim 1; (2) change "carrier" to acceptable excipient" in claim 8; and (3) change "The" in claim 11 to "A".*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)